1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	LINITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
9	,	TACOWA
10	ROGER D. SANDERS,	Case No. C07-5001FDB
11	Plaintiff,	REPORT AND
12	V.	RECOMMENDATION
13	STEVE MANSFIELD, et al.,	NOTED: JUNE 22, 2007
14	Defendants.	
15	This civil rights action been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to Title 28	
16	U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A), 636(b)(1)(B), and Local MJR 1, 3 and 4. Plaintiff moves to dismiss his	
17	case with prejudice.	
18	cuse with projudice.	
19	DISCUSSION	
20	Rule 41(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides, in pertinent part:	
21	[A]n action may be dismissed by the plaintiff without order of court (i) by	
22	filing a notice of dismissal at any time before service by the adverse party of an answer or of a motion for summary judgment, whichever first occurs	
23	Plaintiff alleges that he is being subjected to unconstitutional conditions of confinement, but	
24	has been denied a "fair ground to litigate [his] civil rights complaint" in this Court. Plaintiff	
25	complains that his motions for counsel and extended library time (Dkt. # 18) and motion for	
2627	handwriting expert (Dkt. # 70) were denied by the District Court and his motion for medical	
28	evaluation (Dkt. # 69) has been recommended for denial by this Court. Therefore, Plaintiff requests	
20	ORDER Page - 1	

that his entire action be dismissed with prejudice. Defendants have filed an answer, but there is no summary judgment motion pending. Defendants join in Plaintiff's motion to dismiss, stating that each party should bear its own attorney fees and costs. (Dkt. #77). **CONCLUSION** The Court should dismiss this action as Plaintiff has stated that he no longer wishes to pursue his litigation in this Court. No motion for summary judgment is pending in this action. A proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation. As the undersigned is recommending dismissal of this action, the Court will not consider Plaintiff's motion to file brief (Dkt. #52). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties shall have ten (10) days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file written objections. See also Fed. R.Civ.P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Rule 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on **June 22**, **2007**, as noted in the caption and to strike Dkt. # 52 from the Court's Docket. DATED this 23rd day of May, 2007. United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER